



**PACIFIC CITY COUNCIL AGENDA**  
Council Chambers - City Hall.  
100 3<sup>rd</sup> Avenue SE

January 6, 2014  
Monday

**WORKSHOP**  
6:30 p.m.

*Please be advised that action will be taken at this workshop on the following items:*

- *Oaths of Office*
- *Appointment of Mayor Pro Tem*
- *Appointment of Council President*
- *Appointment of Council Members to Boards and Commissions*

1. **CALL TO ORDER/PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**
2. **OATHS OF OFFICE FOR INCOMING COUNCIL MEMBERS**
3. **ROLL CALL OF COUNCIL MEMBERS**
4. **ADDITIONS TO/APPROVAL OF AGENDA**
6. **PRESENTATION FROM JUDGE STEPHEN ROCHON**
7. **ACTION ITEMS**
  - A. **AB 14-001:** Appointment of Mayor Pro Tem
  - B. **AB 14-002:** Appointment of Council President
  - C. **AB 14-003:** Council Member Appointments to Inter-jurisdictional Boards and Commissions
8. **DISCUSSION ITEMS**
  - A. **Discussion:** **AB 14-004:** Medical Marijuana Moratorium Discussion (15 min.)
  - B. **Discussion:** **AB 14-005:** Resolution No. 14-098 Authorizing the Mayor to accept a donation in the amount of \$2,500 from Metals Express, Inc. for the Senior Center Stone Soup Lunch program. (5 min. )
  - C. **Discussion:** **AB 14-006:** Association of Washington Cities 2014 Membership Fee (5 min. )
  - D. **Discussion:** **AB 14-007:** SCATBd Transportation Forum Membership Dues. (5 min. )
  - E. **Discussion:** **AB 14-008:** Citizen Appreciation Event (10 min.)
9. **ADJOURN**





**Agenda Bill No. 14-001**

**TO:** Mayor Guier and City Council Members  
**FROM:** Amy Stevenson-Ness, City Clerk/Personnel Manager  
**MEETING DATE:** January 6, 2014  
**SUBJECT:** **Appointment of Mayor Pro-Tem**

---

**ATTACHMENTS:** N/A

---

**Previous Council Review Date:** N/A

**Summary:** As former Council Member James McMahan was the Mayor Pro-Tem and his term expired on December 31, 2014, a new Mayor Pro-Tem needs to be selected.

**Recommendation/Action:** According to Council Rules of Procedure, at the last council meeting of the year, the Council members shall choose a Mayor Pro-Tem. A motion is needed to waive the Council Rules of Procedure in order to appoint a Mayor Pro-Tem at tonight's meeting.

**Motion for Consideration:** "I move to waive the Council Rules of Procedure to appoint Council Member XX as Mayor Pro-Tem for 2014."

**Budget Impact:**

**Alternatives:**

**AGENDA ITEM NO. 7A**



**Agenda Bill No. 14-002**

**TO:** Mayor Guier and City Council Members  
**FROM:** Amy Stevenson-Ness, City Clerk/Personnel Manager  
**MEETING DATE:** January 6, 2014  
**SUBJECT:** **Appointment of Council President**

---

**ATTACHMENTS:** N/A

---

**Previous Council Review Date:** N/A

**Summary:** As Council Member Tren Walker was the Council President and his term expired on December 31, 2014, a new Council President needs to be selected.

**Recommendation/Action:** According to Council Rules of Procedure, at the last council meeting of the year, the Council members shall choose a Council President. A motion is needed to waive the Council Rules of Procedure in order to appoint a Council President at tonight's meeting.

**Motion for Consideration:** "I move to waive the Council Rules of Procedure to appoint Council Member XX as Council President for 2014."

**Budget Impact:**

**Alternatives:**

Handwritten notes or scribbles in the top right corner.



**Agenda Bill No. 14-003**

**TO:** Mayor Guier and City Council Members  
**FROM:** Amy Stevenson-Ness, City Clerk/Personnel Manager  
**MEETING DATE:** January 6, 2014  
**SUBJECT:** Council Committee Appointments

**ATTACHMENTS:** N/A

**Previous Council Review Date:**

**Summary:** Annually, the Council discusses the structure and assignment of the Council Committees and external Council Committees and Special Positions. Below are a list of current Committees and who currently serves on each Committee, as known:

| <b>COMMITTEE</b>                  | Hulsey | Jones | Kave | Knudtson | Putnam | Steiger | Walker | McMahan   | Guier/Garberding |
|-----------------------------------|--------|-------|------|----------|--------|---------|--------|-----------|------------------|
| Committee of the Whole            | X      | X     |      |          | X      | X       | X      | X         | X                |
| Finance Committee                 | X      |       |      |          | X      |         |        |           | X                |
| Public Works Committee            | X      |       |      |          |        | X       |        | X         |                  |
| Public Safety Committee           | X      |       |      |          |        | X       |        |           | X                |
| Human Services Committee          | X      | X     |      |          |        |         |        |           | X                |
| Technology Committee              |        | X     |      |          | X      |         | X      |           |                  |
| Claims Committee**                |        |       |      |          | X      | X       |        |           |                  |
| <b>EXTERNAL COMMITTEES</b>        |        |       |      |          |        |         |        |           |                  |
| Valley Regional Fire Authority*   |        |       |      |          |        | X       | X      |           |                  |
| Council Parliamentarian           |        |       |      |          |        |         |        | X         |                  |
| Hotel/Motel Advisory              |        |       |      |          |        |         |        |           | X                |
| Solid Waste                       |        |       |      |          | X      | X       |        |           |                  |
| Farmers Market Board              |        |       |      |          |        |         | X      |           |                  |
| <b>COUNCIL LIAISONS</b>           |        |       |      |          |        |         |        |           |                  |
| Cities and Schools Forum          |        |       |      |          |        |         |        |           |                  |
| Suburban Cities Association (SCA) |        |       |      |          |        |         |        | Alternate | X                |

**AGENDA ITEM NO. 7C**

|   |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |  |  |   |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|---|
| South County Area<br>Transportation Board<br>(SCATBD) |  |  |  |  |  |  |   |  |  | X |
| Pierce County<br>Regional Council<br>(PCRC)           |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |   |

\*The Mayor serves as a representative to this board along with two Council members.

\*\*Comprised of the City Clerk, Council members Putnam and Steiger, and Public Works Director and Public Safety Director.

**Recommendation/Action:** Provide direction to staff on any changes to committee assignments.

**Motion for Consideration:**

**Budget Impact:** N/A

**Alternatives:**



**Agenda Bill No. 14-004**

**TO:** Mayor Guier and City Council Members  
**FROM:** Paula Wiech, Planner  
**MEETING DATE:** January 6, 2014  
**SUBJECT:** Medical and Recreational Marijuana Moratorium

---

**ATTACHMENTS:**

- **Draft proposed Ordinance No. 14-0xx extending the Moratorium on Medical Marijuana processing, production and dispensing, and instituting a Moratorium on the same for Recreational Marijuana;**
- **Background materials from Revised Code of Washington (RCW), the Association of Washington Cities (AWC) and Municipal Research Services Center (MRSC).**

---

**Previous Council Review Date:** August 12, 2013

**Summary:** Medical Marijuana use has been legal in the State of Washington since 1998. Recreational Marijuana use was approved by voters in November 2012. Cities and counties are charged with regulating the use of both in their jurisdictions. Direction from the state has been unclear, and there have been legal challenges to agencies that do not allow the processing, production and dispensing of marijuana. Since August 8, 2011, the City of Pacific has adopted four Moratoriums relating to Medical Marijuana. The Planning Commission continues to review issues in order to draft zoning regulations for Medical and Recreational Marijuana. The Medical Marijuana Moratorium expires February 12, 2014.

A case involving a challenge to a jurisdiction's Medical Marijuana regulations has been before the Washington State Supreme Court for many months, and is still not resolved. Marijuana use is still illegal on a Federal level. The City of Pacific will not issue business licenses for activities that are illegal on a city, state or federal level, but does not require licenses for non-profit organizations.

**Recommendation/Action:** Consider extending the City of Pacific Moratorium on the processing, production and dispensing of Medical Marijuana, and initiating a Moratorium on the processing, production and dispensing of Recreational Marijuana, until such time as the above issues are clarified by the Courts and the State.

**Motion for Consideration:** Schedule a Public Hearing for the February 3, 2014 Council Meeting to receive comments regarding extending the Medical Marijuana Moratorium, and initiating the same Moratorium for Recreational Marijuana.

**Budget Impact:** ?

**Alternatives:** 1. Schedule a Public Hearing for the February 3, 2014 Council Meeting to receive comments on draft zoning regulations for Medical and Recreational Marijuana.

2. Do nothing and let the moratorium expire.

**AGENDA ITEM NO. 8A**

**CITY OF PACIFIC, WASHINGTON**

**ORDINANCE NO. 14-0xx**

**AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF PACIFIC, WASHINGTON, EXTENDING THE MORATORIUM ON THE ESTABLISHMENT OF MEDICAL MARIJUANA COLLECTIVE GARDENS; EXTENDING THE MORATORIUM ON THE PROCESSING, PRODUCTION, AND DISPENSING OF MEDICAL MARIJUANA; EXTENDING THE EFFECTIVE DATE; ADOPTING A MORATORIUM ON THE PROCESSING, PRODUCTION, AND DISPENSING OF RECREATIONAL MARIJUANA; AND PROVIDING THAT THE MORATORIUM WILL SUNSET WITHIN SIX (6) MONTHS OF THE DATE OF ADOPTION.**

**WHEREAS**, the City Council of the City of Pacific passed Ordinance 1804 on August 8, 2011, which established a six (6) month moratorium on the issuance of permits or licenses for medical marijuana collective gardens, and;

**WHEREAS**, the City Council of the City of Pacific passed Ordinance 12-1823 on February 13, 2012, which established a twelve (12) month moratorium on the issuance of permits or licenses for medical marijuana collective gardens, and;

**WHEREAS**, the City Council held a public hearing on February 25, 2013 to consider an extension of the moratorium on the production, processing and dispensing of Medical Marijuana, and was presented with a plan that will provide the Council with the desired draft zoning regulation, and;

**WHEREAS**, the City Council of the City of Pacific passed ordinance 13-1843 on February 25, 2013, which established a six (6) month moratorium on the issuance of permits or licenses for medical marijuana collective gardens, and;

**WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Pacific passed ordinance 13-1848 on August 12, 2013, which established a six (6) month moratorium on the issuance of permits or licenses for medical marijuana collective gardens, and the processing, production, and dispensing of medical marijuana;**

**WHEREAS**, the moratorium was established in order to allow the City of Pacific Planning Commission to plan, process and provide the City Council with draft zoning regulations that would address the issues of permitting medical marijuana in use categories that would be compatible with the permitted uses of the zone(s), and;

**WHEREAS**, the Washington State Supreme Court has before it a case involving Medical Marijuana zoning regulations similar to those contemplated by the City of Pacific and is expected to rule on the legality of those regulations this year, and;

**WHEREAS, the Washington voters approved Initiative 502 (I-502) in 2012, which “authorizes the state liquor control board to regulate and tax marijuana for persons twenty-one years of age and older”, and;**

**WHEREAS, the City of Pacific does not have any regulations addressing facilities or uses identified in I-502, other than the requirements for a general business license, and;**

**WHEREAS, the Pacific Planning Commission has continued to review the issues of permitting both medical and recreational marijuana in use categories that would be compatible with the permitted uses of the zone(s), and;**

**WHEREAS, the Planning Commission has recommended that the City Council extend the moratorium on medical marijuana collective gardens, and the processing, production, and dispensing of medical marijuana, and also adopt a moratorium on the processing, production, and dispensing of recreational marijuana, until such time as the issues of regulation are clarified by the courts and the state, and;**

**NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF PACIFIC, WASHINGTON, DOES ORDAIN AS FOLLOWS:**

**Section 1.** The recitals set forth above are hereby adopted as the Pacific City Council’s findings in support of the extension of the moratorium imposed by Ordinance 1804, Ordinance 12-1823 and Ordinance 13-1843, and the establishment of a moratorium on the processing, production and dispensing of medical marijuana.

**Section 2.** Pursuant to the provisions of RCW 35A.63.220 and RCW 36.70A.390, an extension of the zoning moratorium established by Ordinance 1804 and extended by Ordinance 12-1823 ~~and~~, Ordinance 13-1843, **and Ordinance 13-1848** is hereby enacted in the City of Pacific prohibiting the licensing, establishment, maintenance or continuation of any medical marijuana collective garden, as defined in RCW 69.51A.085.

**Section 3.** Medical marijuana collective gardens as defined in Section 2 are hereby designated as prohibited uses in the City of Pacific. In accordance with the provisions of RCW 35A.82.020 and Pacific Municipal Code 5.02.138(2), no business license shall be issued to any person for a collective garden, which is hereby defined to be a prohibited use under the ordinances of the City of Pacific.

**Section 4.** Pursuant to the provisions of RCW 35A.63.220, a zoning moratorium is hereby enacted in the City of Pacific prohibiting the licensing, establishment, maintenance or continuation of a medical marijuana dispenser, distributor, producer or manufacturer as defined in RCW 69.51A.101 (as amended by 2013 c 3): (i) “Dispenser” means a practitioner who dispenses; (k) “Distributor” means a person who distributes; (j) “Distribute” means to deliver other than by administering or dispensing a controlled substance; (r) “Manufacture” means the production, preparation, propagation, compounding, conversion, or processing of a controlled

substance, either directly or indirectly or by extraction from substances of natural origin, or independently by means of chemical synthesis, or by a combination of extraction and chemical synthesis, and includes any packaging or repackaging of the substance or labeling or relabeling of its container; (ee) "Production" includes the manufacturing, planting, cultivating, growing, or harvesting of a controlled substance.

**Section 5.** Medical marijuana dispensers, distributors, producers or manufacturers, as defined in Section 4 are hereby designated as prohibited in the City of Pacific. In accordance with the provisions of RCW 35A.82.020 and Pacific Municipal Code 5.02.138(3), the dispensing, distribution, production or manufacture of medical marijuana are hereby defined to be prohibited uses under the ordinances of the City of Pacific.

**Section 6. Recreational (I-502) marijuana dispensers, distributors, producers or manufacturers, as defined in Section 4 by RCW are hereby designated as prohibited in the City of Pacific. In accordance with the provisions of RCW 35A.82.020 and Pacific Municipal Code 5.02.138(3), the dispensing, distribution, production or manufacture of marijuana are hereby defined to be prohibited uses under the ordinances of the City of Pacific.**

**Section 67.** The moratorium set forth in this Ordinance shall be in effect for a period of six (6) months from the date this Ordinance is passed and shall automatically expire on that date, unless terminated sooner by the Pacific City Council.

**Section 78.** The Public Works/Community Development Director is hereby authorized and directed to implement the plan attached herein as "Attachment A."

**Section 89. Severability.** Should any section, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this Ordinance, or its application to any person or circumstance, be declared unconstitutional or otherwise invalid for any reason, or should any portion of this Ordinance be preempted by state or federal law or regulation, such decision or preemption shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this Ordinance or its application to other persons or circumstances.

**Section 910.** This Ordinance shall be in full force and effect five (5) business days after its publication according to law.

PASSED BY THE CITY COUNCIL AT A REGULAR MEETING THEREOF ON THE 12<sup>TH</sup>  
\_\_\_\_ DAY OF AUGUST ~~FEBRUARY~~, 2013~~4~~.

CITY OF PACIFIC

\_\_\_\_\_  
Leanne Guier, Mayor

ATTEST:

---

, City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

---

, City Attorney

**RCW 36.70A.390**

**Moratoria, interim zoning controls — Public hearing — Limitation on length — Exceptions.**

A county or city governing body that adopts a moratorium, interim zoning map, interim zoning ordinance, or interim official control without holding a public hearing on the proposed moratorium, interim zoning map, interim zoning ordinance, or interim official control, shall hold a public hearing on the adopted moratorium, interim zoning map, interim zoning ordinance, or interim official control within at least sixty days of its adoption, whether or not the governing body received a recommendation on the matter from the planning commission or department. If the governing body does not adopt findings of fact justifying its action before this hearing, then the governing body shall do so immediately after this public hearing. A moratorium, interim zoning map, interim zoning ordinance, or interim official control adopted under this section may be effective for not longer than six months, but may be effective for up to one year if a work plan is developed for related studies providing for such a longer period. A moratorium, interim zoning map, interim zoning ordinance, or interim official control may be renewed for one or more six-month periods if a subsequent public hearing is held and findings of fact are made prior to each renewal.

This section does not apply to the designation of critical areas, agricultural lands, forest lands, and mineral resource lands, under RCW 36.70A.170, and the conservation of these lands and protection of these areas under RCW 36.70A.060, prior to such actions being taken in a comprehensive plan adopted under RCW 36.70A.070 and implementing development regulations adopted under RCW 36.70A.120, if a public hearing is held on such proposed actions.

[1992 c 207 § 6.]

Published 02/2013

## Medical v. Recreational Marijuana

### Contents

- [Introduction](#)
- [Frequently Asked Questions](#)

### Introduction

---

I-502 makes no mention of medical marijuana. Washington's Medical Use of Marijuana Act remains unchanged. Medical dispensaries will not become retail marijuana stores. MRSC has a webpage providing both current law and tracking changes in Washington's medical marijuana laws. See [Medical Marijuana \(Cannabis\) page](#).

On October 21st, a work group composed of staff from three state agencies - the Department of Health, the Department of Revenue, and the Liquor Control Board - issued their [draft recommendations for regulating medical marijuana](#). If you have reviewed the rules recently adopted for recreational marijuana, you'll see some similarities. The recommendations, when completed, will go to the legislature at the start of 2014. For further information on the proposed changes, see MRSC's [October 22nd blog post](#) on this issue.

For further information on other topics related to the implementation of Initiative 502, see MRSC's main [Recreational Marijuana: A Guide for Local Governments](#) page.

### Frequently Asked Questions

---

#### **How will I-502 affect use and cultivation of marijuana under Washington's Medical Use of Marijuana Act (MUMA)?**

I-502 is silent on medical marijuana.

#### **Will current medical marijuana outlets become retail marijuana stores?**

Only if the operators of the medical marijuana dispensary apply for and obtain a license to sell recreational marijuana. A licensed marijuana store can only sell marijuana provided by a licensed grower or processor, and the retail operator would have to comply with all liquor control board rules and collect the applicable tax.

#### **Where can I learn more about medical marijuana?**

MRSC has a webpage providing both current law and tracking changes in Washington's medical marijuana laws. See [Medical Marijuana \(Cannabis\) page](#).

#### **Will Washington continue to have a dual system of recreational marijuana and medical marijuana?**

That is unknown at this time. The liquor control board is preparing recommendations for the legislature. For obvious reasons it does not make sense to have unregulated and untaxed medical marijuana dispensaries competing with regulated and taxed recreational marijuana retail stores. It is unclear at this time whether the medical marijuana "system" will be incorporated into the licensed recreational marijuana process, or whether the legislature will choose to continue authorizing a separate medical marijuana system, but with greater regulation, accountability, and taxation.

Updated 09/2013

# Marijuana Land Use Issues

## Contents

- [Introduction](#)
- [Frequently Asked Questions](#)
- [Additional References](#)

## Introduction

---

I-502 sets minimum distance requirements for marijuana retail locations. The stores will have to comply with existing land use and zoning regulations. Cities may also object to the granting of proposed licenses for growing, processing, or selling marijuana.

For further information on other topics related to the implementation of Initiative 502, see MRSC's [Recreational Marijuana: A Guide for Local Governments](#) page.

## Frequently Asked Questions

---

**If a city or county establishes zoning for recreational marijuana businesses (providing locations where state licensed growers, processors and retailers can set up shop), would that governmental action in any way put at risk the receipt of federal funds related to other local government functions, such as roads, airports, clean water projects, etc.?**

We have not heard or read anything that would lead us to believe that any federal agency would restrict or deny federal funds to any local government in Washington because of compliance with the state laws and regulations regarding recreational marijuana.

**If a city has determined that all of the land within the city limits is either zoned residential or is within the 1,000 foot separation zone (from schools, parks, recreation centers, etc.) established by I-502, is the city still required to allow recreational marijuana businesses?**

No, in that circumstance the state laws and regulations prohibit the locating of any recreational marijuana businesses within your boundaries. Please let your residents know, and notify the Liquor Control Board of your initial determination. The Liquor Control Board will determine if the 1,000 foot separation distance restricts a recreational marijuana business from a specific proposed site.

**The Liquor Control Board released a list showing how many licenses they might issue for each county, and how many for certain designated cities. The list labels some stores as being "at large" - what does that mean?**

The "at large" stores are retail stores that will be issued licenses for locations within a county, but not within a city that is listed. The "at large" stores could be located in unincorporated areas of the county or in an incorporated city or town that is not listed.

**Are there restrictions on where marijuana retailers may be located?**

Stores may not be within 1000 feet of any elementary or secondary school, playground, recreation center or facility, child care center, public park, public transit center, library, or arcade.

**Can jurisdictions limit where producers, processors, and retailers of marijuana locate?**

Local land use and zoning regulations will apply to the siting of marijuana growing, processing, and retail locations. All producers, processors, and retailers of marijuana will require a license issued by the Liquor Control Board. Cities will have the ability to object to the granting of a proposed license.

The following three questions were asked by attorney Colin Olivers of Everett, and the responses are by Alan Rathbun, Washington Liquor Control Board:

**Is the LCB requiring anything from local governments as part of the application process? I've had several people concerned that they needed city business licenses to apply for the state license. My reading is that they need a state business license to apply, but nothing from local jurisdictions. There appears to be some confusion on this point.**

The only thing requested of local governments in the application process is a response to the "local authority notice" as to whether they object to either the location or the applicant and on what grounds that objection is based. As I said at WSAMA, we will not be considering denial based on a local ordinance, but we do want to notify applicants if there is a local "hurdle" that must be crossed before they can ultimately operate in their chosen location. Yes, a WSLCB license is the only requirement under I-502, but we do recognize that many cities and counties have business license or other local land use requirements over which they have authority.

**There was a question about later location of sensitive uses (schools, libraries, etc.) within the 1,000-foot setback. You mentioned that you wouldn't pull a license in this scenario. My follow up question is whether you would consider the location of the sensitive land use in the yearly re-licensing decision.**

Once a license is issued by the WSLCB based on application of the requirements of law and rule, we do not anticipate seeking cancellation or non-renewal of that license based simply on the movement of "sensitive use" within the 1,000-foot buffer of that licensed location. Once issued, any intent to cancel or revoke that license will require due process for the license holder and likely an administrative hearing.

**I was wondering whether the LCB considered any regulations related to odor. Our police have identified this as a concern from their experience with smaller scale illegal grows. Did the LCB consider this issue directly and determine that there would not be significant odor impacts (even for Tier 3 producers) or did the issue never directly come up?**

The LCB did get comments on odor; however we viewed this issue similar to other environmental issues around licensed locations that are outside our scope under I-502. We understand that other agencies like the Puget Sound Clean Air Agency would be the more relevant authority to seek such regulation rather than the LCB. We have consistently communicated with our potential applicants that there may be many other regulations that they may face outside the requirements for obtaining a producer, processor or retail marijuana license.

**Additional References**

---

- Ordinances, MRSC - List of ordinances including land use and zoning

- Medical and Recreational Marijuana Uses -- Local Regulation (I), by Carol Morris, updated 09/15/2013 - This article discusses land use and legal issues raised by the state's medical cannabis and new recreational marijuana laws.
- FAQ on the Marijuana Initiative, I-502 (I), Association of Washington Cities

### **MRSC Insight**

- Marijuana Regulations Released! With the Numbers!, *MRSC Insight*, 09/04/2013
- Marijuana - No Federal Roadblocks!, *MRSC Insight*, 08/29/2013
- Dazed and Confused!, *MRSC Insight*, 08/28/2013
- Issuance of Marijuana Rules to be Delayed, *MRSC Insight*, 08/14/2013
- It's Really Happening - the Local Marijuana Store!, *MRSC Insight*, 06/27/2013
- Marijuana Producers, Processors, and Retailers - Where Will They Be Setting Up Shop?, *MRSC Insight*, 05/2012 - Outlines some of the issues that local governments will need to address as they start to consider the siting of recreational marijuana businesses, whether growers, processors or retailers.





**Agenda Bill No. 14-005**

**TO:** Mayor Guier and City Council Members  
**FROM:** Darcie Thach, Assistant Director Community Services  
**MEETING DATE:** January 6, 2014  
**SUBJECT:** Donation from Metals Express, Inc.

---

**ATTACHMENTS:** Resolution 14-098

---

**Previous Council Review Date:** none

**Summary:** A donation of \$2500.00 was received from Metal Express, Inc., 1374 Thornton Ave. SW, Pacific, WA 98047, to the Pacific Algona Senior Center for Food Drive.

**Recommendation/Action:** Recommend to accept donation.

**Motion for Consideration:** I move to adopt Resolution 14-098, accepting a donation in the amount of \$2,500 from Metals Express, Inc.

**Budget Impact:**

**Alternatives:**

**AGENDA ITEM NO. 8B**

**CITY OF PACIFIC  
WASHINGTON**

**RESOLUTION NO. 14-098**

**A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PACIFIC, WASHINGTON,  
ACCEPTING THE FUNDS FROM A CASH DONATION FROM METALS EXPRESS, INC.  
OF PACIFIC.**

---

**WHEREAS**, the City of Pacific provides Senior Services for the City of Pacific, Algona and surrounding areas; and

**WHEREAS**, the City of Pacific provides programs to the seniors in the community; and

**WHEREAS**, the City of Pacific will use this donation for Stone Soup Lunch for seniors and citizens in the City of Pacific, Algona and surrounding areas; and

**WHEREAS**, the Mayor, on behalf of the City of Pacific, has indicated the City's willingness to accept this donation;

**NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PACIFIC, WASHINGTON,  
DOES RESOLVE AS FOLLOWS:**

**Section 1.** The Pacific City Council hereby accepts the cash donation of \$2,500 from Metals Express, Inc. of Pacific.

**Section 2.** This Resolution shall take effect and be in full force upon passage and signatures hereon.

**PASSED BY THE CITY OF PACIFIC CITY COUNCIL AT A REGULAR MEETING  
THEREOF ON JANUARY 13, 2014.**

CITY OF PACIFIC

\_\_\_\_\_  
Leanne Guier, Mayor

ATTEST/AUTHENTICATED:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Amy Stevenson-Ness, City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Kenyon Luce, City Attorney





**Agenda Bill No. 14-006**

**TO:** Mayor Guier and City Council Members  
**FROM:** Amy Stevenson-Ness, City Clerk/Personnel Manager  
**MEETING DATE:** January 6, 2014  
**SUBJECT:** Association of Washington Cities 2014 Membership Fee

---

**ATTACHMENTS:** Letter and invoice from AWC

---

**Previous Council Review Date:** N/A

**Summary:** The City has received an invoice from AWC for continued membership in the Association. AWC offers educational opportunities, member programs, including the Risk Management Service Agency the City has recently joined, and advocacy.

**Recommendation/Action:** Continued membership in the Association of Washington Cities is recommended.

**Motion for Consideration:** Move forward to the meeting of January 13, 2014

"I move approve continued membership in the Association of Washington Cities and payment in the amount of the membership fee for 2014 in the amount of \$4,179."

**Budget Impact:** \$4,179. The invoice reflects a small rate increase of less than one half percent.

**Alternatives:**





December 20, 2013

Mayor Leanne Guier  
City of Pacific  
100 - 3rd Avenue SE  
Pacific, WA 98047

**RE: 2014 AWC Membership Dues**

Dear Mayor Guier:

The Association of Washington Cities thanks you for your ongoing support and your recognition of the importance of our united voice and your professional advancement. AWC is pleased we can continue to offer the City of Pacific exceptional advocacy direction, timely communications about issues of importance to you, outstanding educational opportunities, and a broad array of member programs and services designed to benefit you.

For the first time in several years, the AWC membership fee reflects a modest rate increase of less than one half percent (.5%). The increase is equal to the rate of increase in the state and local government component of the Implicit Price Deflator (IPD). The IPD, per longstanding AWC Board policy, is the index used for the computation of rate adjustments. The rate increase and changes in your population, according to the most recent OFM data, result in a 2014 membership fee of \$ 4,179.

As we look forward to 2014, we hope you again join with city officials across the state and participate in your Association. Enclosed is the 2014 AWC membership invoice for the City of Pacific. Please return one copy of the invoice with your payment by January 31, 2014.

Thank you again for your support and participation. Please feel free to contact Mike McCarty at [mikem@awcnet.org](mailto:mikem@awcnet.org) or (360) 753-4137 or toll-free (800) 562-8981, if you have any questions regarding this notice or about any AWC services.

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Craig George".

Craig George  
AWC President

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Mike McCarty".

Mike McCarty  
AWC Chief Executive Officer

Cc: Richard Gould, Finance Director



**INVOICE**  
**12/20/2013**

Mayor Leanne Guier  
City of Pacific  
100 - 3rd Avenue SE  
Pacific, WA 98047

**Mission**

*AWC's mission is to serve our members through advocacy, education and services.*

**Vision**

*AWC is a highly respected voice of cities and towns before the Legislature, Congress, government agencies and others. We are the leader in providing valuable services and continuing education for our membership. We are the catalyst for promoting communication between cities and towns and for developing a broad public understanding for the important role of cities and towns across the state.*

The Association of Washington Cities is the official organization of cities and towns in the State of Washington. Each city paying the annual membership fee is a member and has an equal voice in the determination of the Association's policy.

For the first time in several years, the AWC membership fee reflects a modest rate increase of less than one half percent (.5%). The increase is equal to the rate of increase in the state and local government component of the Implicit Price Deflator (IPD). The IPD, per longstanding AWC Board policy, is the index used for the computation of rate adjustments.

**2014 Membership Fee ..... \$ 4,179**

Please make the check payable to the Association of Washington Cities, and return a copy of this invoice with your payment by January 31, 2014. If you have questions regarding the invoice, please e-mail April Petersen at [aprilp@awcnet.org](mailto:aprilp@awcnet.org) or call (360) 753-4137 or toll-free (800) 562-8981.

**Total Due: \$ 4,179**



**Agenda Bill No. 14-007**

**TO:** Mayor Guier and City Council Members  
**FROM:** Amy Stevenson-Ness, City Clerk/Personnel Manager  
**MEETING DATE:** January 6, 2014  
**SUBJECT:** South County Area Transportation Board (SCATBd) Transportation Forum Dues

---

**ATTACHMENTS:** Letter and invoice from SCATBd

---

**Previous Council Review Date:** N/A

**Summary:** Per the City's SCATBd Agreement, full voting members of SCATBd agree to pay annual dues of \$100.00 per vote. The City has one voting member position.

**Recommendation/Action:** Authorize payment of the 2014 SCATBd Transportation Forum membership dues.

**Motion for Consideration:** Move forward to the meeting of January 13, 2014

"I move to approve payment of the 2014 SCATBd Transportation Forum membership dues in the amount of \$100.00."

**Budget Impact:** \$100.00.

**Alternatives:**





## King County

### Department of Transportation

Harold S. Taniguchi, *Director*

KSC-TR-0815

201 South Jackson Street  
Seattle, WA 98104-3856

**206.477.3800** TTY Relay: 711

[www.metrokc.gov/kcdot](http://www.metrokc.gov/kcdot)

December 13, 2013

The Honorable Leanne Guier  
Mayor, City of Pacific  
100 – 3<sup>rd</sup> Avenue SE  
Pacific, WA 98047

Dear Mayor Guier:

Enclosed is the invoice for your city's 2014 SCATBd Transportation Forum membership dues. According to the SCATBd Agreement, full voting SCATBd members agreed to pay annual dues of \$100.00 per vote.

Please send your remittance, made payable to King County, at your earliest convenience. Please send this to the attention of Paul Takamine, Transportation Planner, Office of Regional Transportation Planning, 201 South Jackson Street, Mail Stop KSC-TR-0814, Seattle, WA 98104-3856.

If you have any questions regarding membership dues, please call Paul Takamine, at (206) 477-3822.

Sincerely,

Harold S. Taniguchi  
Director, King County Department of Transportation

Enclosure

cc: City Clerk, City of Pacific  
Paul Takamine, Transportation Planner III, Office of Regional Transportation Planning,  
King County Department of Transportation  
Linda Bruce, Finance and Business Operations, King County Executive Services



# King County

## South County Area Transportation Board

201 S. Jackson St.

KSC-TR-0814

Seattle, WA 98104

Phone: 206.477.3800 Fax: 206-684-2111

### INVOICE / STATEMENT

|                        |            |
|------------------------|------------|
| Invoice Date:          | 12/13/13   |
| Invoice Number:        | S-04       |
| King County Tax ID No. | 91-6001327 |
| Due Date:              | 45 Days    |
| Total Amount Due:      | \$100.00   |

#### MAKE CHECK PAYABLE

#### TO: KING COUNTY

#### PLEASE REMIT TO:

Sally Marks, Supervising Transportation Planner  
 Office of Regional Transportation Planning  
 201 S. Jackson St., KSC-TR-0814  
 Seattle, WA 98104

TO: The Honorable Leanne Guier  
 Mayor, City of Pacific  
 100 – 3<sup>rd</sup> Avenue SE  
 Pacific, WA 98047

MAIL THIS PORTION WITH YOUR PAYMENT

-----  
Detach and Retain This Portion

| Invoice No. S-04  |                        | Period: 1/1/14 - 12/31/14 |                  |
|---|------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| Description   | Rate Per Voting Member | No. of Voting Members     | Total Amount Due |
| 2014 South County Area Transportation Board Annual Dues | \$100.00               | 1                         | \$100.00         |

If you have questions, please call (206) 477-3816.



**Agenda Bill No. 14-008**

**TO:** City Council Members  
**FROM:** Mayor Guier  
**MEETING DATE:** January 6, 2014  
**SUBJECT:** Citizen Appreciation Event

---

**ATTACHMENTS:** N/A

---

**Previous Council Review Date:** N/A

**Summary:** The topic of a Citizen Appreciation Event/Fundraiser for the Youth and Senior Services was raised at a recent staff meeting to raise funds for Community Services and show appreciation for the citizens of Pacific. The proposed event tentatively would occur in March. The Mayor is asking for Council ideas and participation for this event.

**Recommendation/Action:**

**Motion for Consideration:**

**Budget Impact:**

**Alternatives:**

