ORDINANCE NO. 2014-1879

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PACIFIC, WASHINGTON, RELATING TO THE STATE ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT (SEPA), REPEALING THE CITY’S CURRENT PROCEDURES FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF SEPA AND ADOPTING NEW PROCEDURES FOR REVIEW OF ALL “ACTIONS” UNDER SEPA, ISSUANCE OF THRESHOLD DECISIONS, PREPARATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS, PUBLIC NOTICE, COMMENT AND APPEALS; REPEALING CHAPTER 16.16 AND ADOPTING A NEW CHAPTER 16.16 OF THE PACIFIC MUNICIPAL CODE.

WHEREAS, the City’s Environmental Policy Code (chapter 16.16 PMC) adopts all of chapter 197-11 WAC by reference, a procedure that does not allow for local amendments; and

WHEREAS, because the Washington State Legislature has adopted new SEPA Rules since 2001, these new Rules have not been incorporated by reference into the City’s Environmental Policy Code chapter 16.16 PMC; and

WHEREAS, on November 20, 2014, the City’s SEPA Responsible Official determined that the adoption of this Ordinance is categorically exempt under WAC 197-11-800(19) as an ordinance relating to procedures only; and

WHEREAS, on October 20, 2014, the City Council held a first reading of this Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, on December 8, 2014, this Ordinance was considered by the City Council in a second reading; Now, Therefore,

THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PACIFIC, WASHINGTON, ORDAINS AS FOLLOWS:
Section 1. Chapter 16.16 of the Pacific Municipal Code is hereby repealed.

Section 2. A new chapter 16.16 is hereby added to the Pacific Municipal Code, which shall read as follows:

Chapter 16.16

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW
STATE ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT (SEPA)

Sections.

16.16.010 Authority.
16.16.030 Additional definitions.
16.16.050 Designation of responsible official.
16.16.060 Lead agency determination and responsibilities.
16.16.070 Transfer of lead agency status to state agency.
16.16.080 Categorical exemptions – Adoption by reference.
16.16.090 Categorical exemptions – Determination.
16.16.100 Flexible thresholds for categorical exemptions.
16.16.110 Integration with permit and land use decisions.
16.16.120 Integration of SEPA with project permit decisions.
16.16.130 Threshold determinations.
16.16.140 Environmental checklist.
16.16.150 Timing.
16.16.160 Mitigated DNS.
16.16.170 Environmental impact statement.
16.16.180 Preparation of EIS – Additional considerations.
16.16.190 Additional elements to be covered by EIS.
16.16.200 Commenting.
16.16.210 Public notice.
16.16.220 Designation of official to perform consulted agency responsibilities for the City.
16.16.230 Using existing environmental documents.
16.16.240 SEPA and agency decisions.
16.16.250 Substantive authority.
16.16.260 Appeals.
16.16.270 Agency compliance.
16.16.280 Critical areas.
16.16.290 Fees.
16.16.300 Adoption of forms by reference.

16.16.010 Authority. The City adopts this chapter under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA), RCW 43.21C.120 and the SEPA Rules, chapter 197-11 WAC. This ordinance contains the City’s SEPA procedures and policies. The SEPA Rules, chapter 197-11 WAC must be used in conjunction with this chapter.

16.16.020 Definitions. This part contains the basic requirements that apply to the SEPA process. The City adopts the following sections of chapter 197-11 of the Washington Administrative Code by reference:

WAC

197-11-040 Definitions.
197-11-220 SEPA/GMA definitions.
197-11-700 Definitions.
197-11-702 Act.
197-11-704 Action.
197-11-706 Addendum.
197-11-708 Adoption.
197-11-710 Affected Tribe.
197-11-712 Affecting.
197-11-714 Agency.
197-11-716 Applicant.
197-11-718 Built Environment.
197-11-720 Categorical exemption.
197-11-721 Consolidated appeal.
197-11-724 Consulted agency.
197-11-726 Cost-benefit analysis.
197-11-728 County/city.
197-11-730 Decision-maker.
197-11-732 Department.
197-11-734 Determination of nonsignificance (DNS).
197-11-736 Determination of significance (DS).
197-11-738 EIS.
197-11-740 Environment.
197-11-742 Environmental checklist.
197-11-744 Environmental document.
197-11-746 Environmental review.
197-11-750 Expanded scoping.
197-11-752 Impacts.
197-11-754 Incorporation by reference.
197-11-756 Lands covered by water.
197-11-758 Lead agency.
197-11-760 License.
197-11-762 Local agency.
197-11-764 Major action.
197-11-766 Mitigated DNS.
197-11-768 Mitigation.
197-11-770 Natural environment.
197-11-772 NEPA.
197-11-774 Nonproject.
197-11-775 Open record hearing.
197-11-776 Phased review.
197-11-778 Preparation.
197-11-780 Private project.
197-11-782 Probable.
197-11-784 Proposal.
197-11-786 Reasonable alternative.
197-11-788 Responsible official.
197-11-790 SEPA.
197-11-792 Scope.
197-11-793 Scoping.
197-11-794 Significant.
197-11-796 State agency.
197-11-797 Threshold determination.
197-11-799 Underlying government action.

16.16.030 Additional definitions. In addition to those definitions contained with WAC 197-11-700 through 197-11-799 and 197-11-220, when used in this chapter, the following terms shall have the following meanings, unless the context indicates otherwise:

A. "Department" means any division, unit or department of the City.

B. "Ordinance" or "chapter" means the ordinance, resolution or other procedure used by the City to adopt regulatory requirements.

C. "Early notice" means the City's response to an applicant stating whether it considers issuance of a determination of significance likely for the applicant's proposal (mitigated determination of nonsignificance (MDNS) procedures).

16.16.040. Process. The City adopts the following sections of Chapter 197-11 WAC by reference:

WAC

197-11-050 Lead Agency.
197-11-055 Timing of the SEPA Process.
197-11-060  Content of Environmental Review.
197-11-070  Limitations on actions during SEPA Process.
197-11-080  Incomplete or unavailable information.
197-11-090  Supporting documents.
197-11-100  Information required of applicants
197-11-158  GMA project review – reliance on existing plans, laws and regulations.
197-11-164  Planned actions – definitions and criteria.
197-11-168  Ordinances or resolutions designating planned actions.
197-11-172  Planned actions – project review.
197-11-210  SEPA/GMA integration.
197-11-228  Overall SEPA/GMA integration procedures.
197-11-230  Timing of an integrated GMA/SEPA process.
197-11-232  SEPA/GMA integration procedures for preliminary planning, environmental analysis, and expanded scoping.
197-11-235  Documents.
197-11-238  Monitoring.
197-11-250  SEPA/Model Toxics Control Act Integration.
197-11-253  SEPA Lead Agency for MTCA actions.
197-11-256  Preliminary evaluation.
197-11-259  Determination of nonsignificance and EIS for MTCA remedial actions.
197-11-265  Early scoping for MTCA remedial actions.
197-11-268  MTCA interim actions.

16.16.050 Designation of responsible official.

A. For those proposals for which the City is the lead agency, the responsible official shall be the Community Development Manager.

B. For all proposals for which the City is the lead agency, the responsible official shall make the threshold determination, supervise scoping and preparation of any required environmental impact statement (EIS) and perform any other functions assigned to the “lead agency” or responsible official" by those sections of the SEPA rules that were adopted by reference in this chapter.

16.16.060 Lead agency determination and responsibilities.

A. The SEPA Responsible Official shall determine the lead agency for any application for or initiation of a proposal that involves a nonexempt action, as provided in WAC 197-11-050, unless the lead agency has been previously determined or if another agency is in the process of determining the lead agency.
B. When the City is the lead agency for a proposal, the SEPA Responsible Official shall supervise compliance with the necessary threshold determination requirements, and if an EIS is necessary, shall supervise preparation of the EIS.

C. When the City is not the lead agency for a proposal, all departments of the City shall use and consider, as appropriate, either the DNS or the final EIS of the lead agency in making decisions on the proposal. No City department shall prepare or require preparation of a DNS or EIS in addition to that prepared by the lead agency, unless required under WAC 197-11-600. In some cases, the City may conduct supplemental environmental review under WAC 197-11-600.

D. If the City or any of its departments receives a lead agency determination made by any other agency that appears inconsistent with the criteria of WAC 197-11-253 or 197-11-922 through 197-11-940, it may object to the determination. Any objection must be made to the agency originally making the determination and resolved within fifteen days of receipt of the determination, or the City must petition the department of ecology for lead agency determination under WAC 197-11-946 within the fifteen day time period. Any such petition on behalf of the City may be initiated by the Community Development Manager.

E. Departments of the City are authorized to make agreements as to lead agency status or shared lead agency duties for a proposal under WAC 197-11-942 and 197-11-944; PROVIDED, that the responsible official and any department that will incur responsibilities as the result of such agreement approve the agreement.

F. Any department making a lead agency determination for a private project shall require sufficient information from the applicant to identify which other agencies have jurisdiction over the proposal.

16.16.070. Transfer of lead agency status to a state agency. For any proposal for a private project where the City would be the lead agency and for which one or more state agencies have jurisdiction, the City's responsible official may elect to transfer the lead agency duties to a state agency. The state agency with jurisdiction appearing first on the priority listing in WAC 197-11-936 shall be the lead agency and the City shall be an agency with jurisdiction. To transfer lead agency duties, the City's responsible official must transmit a notice of the transfer together with any relevant information available on the proposal to the appropriate state agency with jurisdiction. The responsible official of the City shall also give notice of the transfer to the private applicant and any other agencies with jurisdiction over the proposal.
16.16.080 Categorical exemptions – Adoption by reference. The City adopts the following rules for categorical exemptions from chapter 197-11 WAC:

WAC

197-11-300 Purpose of this part.
197-11-305 Categorical exemptions.
197-11-800 Categorical exemptions.
197-11-880 Emergencies.
197-11-890 Petitioning DOE to change exemptions.

16.16.080 Categorical exemptions – Determination.

A. Each department within the City that receives an application for a license or, in the case of governmental proposals, the department initiating the proposal, shall determine whether the license, permit and/or proposal is exempt. The department’s determination that a proposal is exempt shall be final and is not subject to administrative review. If a proposal is exempt, none of the procedural requirements of this chapter apply to the proposal. The City shall not require completion of an environmental checklist for an exempt proposal.

B. In determining whether or not a proposal is exempt, the Department shall make certain that the proposal is properly defined and shall identify the governmental licenses required (WAC 197-11-070). If a proposal includes exempt and non-exempt actions, the Department shall determine the lead agency, even if the license application that triggers the Department’s consideration is exempt.

C. If a proposal includes both exempt and nonexempt actions, the City may authorize exempt actions prior to compliance with the procedural requirements of this chapter, except that:

1. The City shall not give authorization for:
   a. any nonexempt action;
   b. any action that would have an adverse environmental impact; or
   c. any action that would limit the choice of alternatives.

2. The Department may withhold approval of an exempt action that would lead to modification of the physical environment, when such modification would serve no purpose if the nonexempt action(s) were not approved; and
3. A department may withhold approval of exempt actions that would lead to substantial financial expenditures by a private applicant when the expenditures would serve no purpose if the nonexempt actions were not approved.

The City will normally identify whether an action is categorically exempt within 28 days of receiving a completed application. The Community Development Manager shall certify when an application is complete based upon review of the environmental checklist, or for project permit applications, based on the requirements for a complete application set forth in the City’s code for each permit type. If additional information is required to supplement the checklist, the application shall not be certified complete until the required information is received by the Director.

16.16.100 Flexible thresholds for categorical exemptions. The lowest level in the ranges below apply unless the City raises the level based on local conditions, such as previous DNSs on the activities or the City’s development codes. The City may raise the level for an exemption to any point up to the maximum specified in WAC 197-11-800(1)(c), once levels are established in this ordinance, the City must apply a level to all projects within the geographic area.

A. The City establishes the following exempt level for minor new construction under WAC 197-11-800(1)(b) based on local conditions:

1. For residential dwelling units in 197-11-800(1)(b)(i) (NOTE: range 4-20 units) Up to 4 dwelling units.

2. For agricultural structures in WAC 197-11-800(1)(b)(ii) (NOTE: Range 10,000 to 30,000 square feet): Up to 12,000 square feet.

3. For office, school, commercial, recreational, service or storage buildings in WAC 197-11-800(1)(b)(iii) NOTE: Range is 4,000 to 12,000 square feet and 20-40 parking spaces) Up to 12,000 square feet and up to 40 parking spaces.


5. For landfills and evacuations in WAC 197-11-800(1)(b)(v) (Note: Range is 100-500 cubic yards) Up to 400 cubic yards.

B. Whenever the City establishes new exempt levels under this section, it shall send them to the Department of Ecology, Headquarters Office, Olympia, WA  98504 under WAC 197-11-800(1)(c).
16.16.110 Integration with permit and land use decision. Under chapter 36.70B RCW, the procedure for review and processing of project permit applications shall be combined with the environmental review process, both procedural and substantive. The process under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) and this chapter shall integrate the following procedures, insofar as possible, with any applicable process for decision-making on permit and land use applications:

A. Staff review of the application under City codes and regulations and the environmental review and determination thereon;

B. The staff report on the application, and the report or documentation concerning environmental review;

C. Hearings and other public processes, including required public notices, required by City code or regulation, and hearings and other public processes, including public notices and appeals, required or conducted under SEPA.

D. Such other review processes as determined by the Community Development Director.

16.16.120. Integration of SEPA with project permit decision-making. Under chapter 36.70B RCW, the procedure for review of project permit applications (as defined in RCW 36.70B.020) shall be combined with the environmental review process, both procedural and substantive.

16.16.130 Threshold determinations. This part contains the rules for deciding whether a proposal has a “probable, significant, adverse environmental impact” requiring an environmental impact statement to be prepared. This part also contains rules for evaluating the impacts of proposals not requiring an EIS. The City adopts the following sections by reference, as supplemented in this part:

WAC

197-11-310 Threshold determination required.
197-11-315 Environmental Checklist.
197-11-335 Additional Information.
197-11-340 Determination of Significance (DS)
197-11-350 Mitigated DNS.
197-11-355 Optional DNS process.
197-11-360 Determination of significance (DS)(initiation of scoping)
197-11-390 Effect of threshold determination

16.16.140 Environmental Checklist.
A. Except as provided in subsection (4) of this section, a completed environmental checklist (or a copy), in the form provided in WAC 197-11-960, shall be filed at the same time as an application for a permit, license, certificate or other approval not specifically exempted in this chapter, except that a checklist is not needed if the City and applicant agree that an EIS is required, SEPA compliance has been completed, or SEPA compliance has been initiated by another agency. The City shall use the environmental checklist to determine the lead agency, and if the City is the lead agency, for determining the responsible official and for making the threshold determinations.

B. For private proposals, the City will require the applicant to complete the environmental checklist, providing assistance as necessary. For City proposals, the Department initiating the proposal shall complete the environmental checklist for that proposal.

C. For projects submitted as planned actions under WAC 197-11-164, the City shall use its existing environmental checklist form or may modify the environmental checklist form as provided in WAC 197-11-315. The modified environmental checklist form may be prepared and adopted along with or as part of a planned action ordinance; or developed after the ordinance is adopted. In either case, a proposed modified environmental checklist form must be sent to the Department of Ecology to allow at least a thirty-day review prior to use.

16.16.150 Timing. For those project permit applications that are not subject to chapter 36.70B RCW, the following will apply:

A. The City will attempt to issue a threshold determination on a completed application within ninety (90) days after the application and supporting documentation are complete.

B. A complete application for a threshold determination consists of the following information:

1. A description of the proposed action;
2. Site information, including site plans, vicinity maps and other information required for a land use certification or other application;
3. The environmental checklist;
4. Additional information/environmental checklist (WAC 197-11-335). The environmental checklist covers sixteen (16) subjects. If, after review of the environmental checklist, it is determined that there is insufficient information to make a threshold determination, additional information will be required using any one or more of the following:
a. The applicant will provide more information on subjects in the checklist;
b. The City makes its own further study;
c. The City will consult with other agencies, requesting information on the proposal’s probable or potential impacts which lie within the other agency’s jurisdiction or expertise.

C. It is the policy of the City that adequate information must be provided before a threshold decision can be made. The City will not commence processing environmental checklists which are not complete.

16.16.160 Mitigated DNS.

A. As provided in this section and in WAC 197-11-350, the responsible official may issue a DNS based on conditions attached to the proposal by the responsible official or on changes to, or clarifications of, the proposal made by the applicant.

B. An applicant may request in writing early notice of whether a DS is likely under WAC 197-11-350. The request must:

1. Follow submission of a permit application and environmental checklist for a nonexempt proposal for which the department is lead agency; and

2. Precede the City’s actual threshold determination for the proposal.

C. The responsible official should respond to the request for early notice within 21 working days. The response shall:

1. Be written;

2. State whether the City currently considers issuance of a DS likely and if so, indicate the general or specific area(s) of concern that is/are leading the City to consider a DS; and

3. State that the applicant may change or clarify the proposal to mitigate the indicated impacts, revising the environmental checklist and/or permit application as necessary to reflect the changes or clarifications.

D. As much as possible, the City should assist the applicant with identification of impacts to the extent necessary to formulate mitigation measures.
E. When an applicant submits a changed or clarified proposal, along with a revised or amended environmental checklist, the City shall base its threshold determination on the changed or clarified proposal and should make the determination within fifteen days of receiving the changed or clarified proposal;

1. If the City indicated specific mitigation measures in its response to the request for early notice, and the applicant changed or clarified the proposal to include those specific mitigation measures, the City shall issue and circulate a DNS under WAC 197-11-340(2).

2. If the City indicated areas of concern, but did not indicate specific mitigation measures that would allow it to issue a DNS, the City shall make the threshold determination, issuing a DNS or DS as appropriate.

3. The applicant’s proposed mitigation measures (clarifications, changes or conditions) must be in writing and must be specific. For example, proposals to “control noise” or “prevent storm water runoff” are inadequate, whereas proposals to “muffle machinery to X decibel” or “construct 200-foot storm water retention pond at Y location” are adequate.

4. Mitigation measures which justify issuance of a mitigated DNS may be incorporated in the DNS by reference to agency staff reports, studies or other documents.

F. The City may use Option 1 or Option 2 in this section. Option 1: A mitigated DNS is issued under WAC 197-11-340(2), requiring a fourteen-day comment period and public notice. Option 2: A mitigated DNS is issued under either WAC 197-11-340(2), requiring a fourteen-day comment period and public notice, or WAC 197-11-355, which may require no additional comment period beyond the comment period on the notice of application.

H. Mitigation measures incorporated in the mitigated DNS shall be deemed conditions of approval of the permit decision and may be enforced in the same manner as any term or condition of the permit, or enforced in any manner specifically prescribed by the City.

I. If the City’s tentative decision on a permit or approval does not include mitigation measures that were incorporated in a mitigated DNS for the proposal, the City should evaluate the threshold determination to assure consistency with WAC 197-11-340(3)(a) (withdrawal of DNS).
J. The City's written response under subsection (B) of this section shall not be construed as a determination of significance. In addition, preliminary discussion of clarifications or changes to a proposal, as opposed to a written request for early notice, shall not bind the City to consider the clarifications or changes in its threshold determination.

16.16.170 Environmental Impact Statement. This part contains the rules for preparing environmental impact statements. The City adopts the following sections by reference, as supplemented by this part:

WAC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>197-11-400</td>
<td>Purpose of EIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>197-11-402</td>
<td>General Requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>197-11-405</td>
<td>EIS types</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>197-11-406</td>
<td>EIS timing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>197-11-408</td>
<td>Scoping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>197-11-410</td>
<td>Expanded Scoping (Optional)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>197-11-420</td>
<td>EIS preparation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>197-11-425</td>
<td>Style and Size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>197-11-430</td>
<td>Format</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>197-11-435</td>
<td>Cover letter or memo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>197-11-440</td>
<td>EIS contents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>197-11-442</td>
<td>Contents of EIS on nonproject proposals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>197-11-443</td>
<td>EIS contents when prior nonproject EIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>197-11-444</td>
<td>Relationship of EIS to other considerations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>197-11-450</td>
<td>Cost-benefit analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>197-11-455</td>
<td>Issuance of DEIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>197-11-460</td>
<td>Issuance of FEIS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

16.16.180 Preparation of EIS – Additional Considerations.

A. Amendments to the Comprehensive Plan and Development Regulations. The proportionate cost of preparation of any draft and final EIS (DEIS and FEIS) for an amendment to the comprehensive plan or development regulations shall be the responsibility of the individual applicant(s). The DEIS and FEIS shall be prepared by the City staff or by a consultant selected by the City.

B. Project Permit Applications. The cost of preparation of any draft and final EIS (DEIS and FEIS) for a project permit application (as defined in RCW 36.70B.020) shall be the responsibility of the individual applicant. Preparation of the DEIS and FEIS is the responsibility of the applicant.
C. **Other Action.** When there is no project permit or other application, the Community Development Manager shall have the discretion to determine the responsibility for preparation of the EIS. If the responsible official requires an EIS for a proposal and determines that someone other than the City will prepare the EIS, the responsible official shall notify the applicant immediately after completion of the threshold determination.

D. **All Circumstances.** Whenever a draft or final EIS (DEIS or FEIS) is required, it shall be prepared under the direction of the responsible official.

E. **Additional Information.** The City may require an applicant to provide information the City does not possess, including specific investigations. However, the applicant is not required to supply information that is not required under this chapter or that is being requested from another agency. However, this does not apply to information the City may request under another ordinance or statute.

F. **Completion date.** Subject to delays caused by the applicant’s failure to provide information requested by the City and other delays beyond the City’s control, an EIS will be completed within one (1) year of the date of the declaration of significance, unless an appeal is filed or the City and applicant agree in writing to a different estimated time period for completion of the EIS.

16.16.190 **Additional elements to be covered by EIS.** The following additional elements are part of the environment for the purpose of EIS content, but do not add to the criteria for threshold determinations or perform any other function or purpose under this chapter: economy; social policy analysis and cost-benefit analysis.

16.16.200 **Adoption by reference.** This part contains rules for consulting, commenting and responding on all environmental documents under SEPA, including rules for public notice and hearings. The City adopts the following sections by reference, as supplemented by this part:

WAC

| 197-11-500 | Purpose of this part |
| 197-11-502 | Inviting comment |
| 197-11-504 | Availability and cost of environmental documents |
| 197-11-508 | SEPA register |
| 197-11-510 | Public notice |
| 197-11-535 | Public hearings and meetings |
| 197-11-545 | Effect of no comment |
| 197-11-550 | Specificity of comments |
16.16.210 Public notice.

A. Whenever the City issues a DNS under WAC 197-11-340(2) or a DS under WAC 197-11-360(3), the City shall give public notice as follows:

1. If public notice is required for a nonexempt license, the notice shall state whether a DS or DNS has been issued and when comments are due;

2. If no public notice is required for the permit or approval, the City shall give notice of the DNS or DS by
   a. Posting the property, for a site-specific proposal;
   b. Publishing notice in a newspaper of general circulation in the county, city or general area where the proposal is located;
   c. Notification to adjacent property owners within 300 feet of the exterior property lines of the applicant's property.

B. When the City issues a DS under WAC 197-11-360(3), the City shall state the scoping procedure for the proposal in the DS as required in WAC 197-11-408 and in the public notice.

C. Whenever the City issues a DEIS under WAC 197-11-455(5) or a SEIS under WAC 197-11-620, notice of the availability of those documents shall be given by:

1. Indicating the availability of the DEIS in any public notice required for a nonexempt license; and [use at least one of the following]:
   a. Posting the property, for site-specific proposals;
   b. Publishing notice in a newspaper of general circulation in the County, City or general area where the proposal is located;
   c. Notification to adjacent property owners within 300 feet of the exterior property lines of the applicant's property.

D. Whenever possible, the City shall integrate the public notice required under this Section with existing notice procedures for the City's nonexempt permit(s) or approval(s) required for the proposal.
E. The City may require an applicant to complete the public notice requirements for the applicant’s proposal at his/her expense.

16.16.220 Designation of official to perform consulted agency responsibilities for the City.

A. The Community Development Manager shall be responsible for preparation of written comments for the City in response to a consultation required prior to a threshold determination, participation in scoping, and reviewing a DEIS.

B. The Community Development Manager shall be responsible for the City’s compliance with WAC 197-11-440 whenever the City is a consulted agency and is authorized to develop operating procedures that will ensure that responses to consultation requests are prepared in a timely fashion and include data from all appropriate departments of the City.

16.16.230 Using Existing Environmental Documents. This part contains rules for using and supplementing existing environmental documents prepared under SEPA or National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) for the City’s own environmental compliance. The City adopts the following sections by reference:

WAC

197-11-600 When to use existing environmental documents
197-11-610 Use of NEPA documents
197-11-620 Supplemental environmental impact statement – procedures
197-11-625 Addenda – procedures
197-11-630 Adoption – procedures
197-11-635 Incorporation by reference – procedures
197-11-640 Combining documents

16.16.240 SEPA and Agency Decisions. This part contains rules (and policies) for SEPA’s substantive authority, such as decisions to mitigate or reject proposals as a result of SEPA. This part also contains procedures for appealing SEPA determinations to agencies or the courts. The City adopts the following sections by reference:

WAC

197-11-650 Purpose of this part
197-11-655 Implementation
197-11-660 Substantive authority and mitigation
197-11-680 Appeals
16.16.250 Substantive authority.

A. The policies and goals set forth in this ordinance are supplementary to those in the existing authorization of the City.

B. The City may attach conditions to a permit or approval for a proposal, so long as:

1. Such conditions are necessary to mitigate specific probable adverse environmental impacts identified in environmental documents prepared pursuant to this chapter; and

2. Such conditions are in writing; and

3. The mitigation measures included in such conditions are reasonable and capable of being accomplished; and

4. The City has considered whether other local, state, or federal mitigation measures applied to the proposal are sufficient to mitigate the identified impacts; and

5. Such conditions are based on one or more policies in subsection (D) of this section and cited in the license or other decision document.

C. The City may deny a permit or approval for a proposal on the basis of SEPA so long as:

1. A finding is made that approving the proposal would result in probable significant adverse environmental impacts that are identified in a FEIS or final SEIS prepared pursuant to this chapter; and

2. A finding is made that there are no reasonable mitigation measures capable of being accomplished that are sufficient to mitigate the identified impact; and

3. The denial is based on one or more policies identified in writing the decision document.

D. The City designates and adopts by reference the following policies as the basis for the City's exercise of authority pursuant to this section:

1. The City shall use all practicable means, consistent with other essential considerations of state policy, to improve and
coordinate plans, functions, programs, and resources to the end that the state and its citizens may:

a. fulfill the responsibilities of each generation as trustee of the environment for succeeding generations;
b. assure for all people of Washington safe, healthful, productive and aesthetically and culturally pleasing surroundings;
c. attain the widest range of beneficial uses of the environment without degradation, risk to health or safety, or other undesirable and unintended consequences;
d. preserve important historic, cultural and natural aspects of our national heritage;
e. maintain, wherever possible, an environment which supports diversity and variety of individual choice;
f. achieve a balance between population and resource use which will permit high standards of living and a wide sharing of life’s amenities; and
g. enhance the quality of renewable resources and approach the maximum attainable recycling of depletable resources;

2. The City recognizes that each person has a fundamental and inalienable right to a healthful environment and that each person has a responsibility to contribute to the preservation and enhancement of the environment.

3. The City adopts by reference the policies in the following City codes, ordinances, resolutions and plans, as they now exist or may hereafter be amended, as a possible basis for the exercise of substantive SEPA authority in the conditioning or denying of proposals:

a. Chapter 43.21C RCW – State Environmental Policy Act.
b. Title 5 of the PMC Business Licenses and Regulations.
c. Title 6 of the PMC – Animals.
d. Title 8 of the PMC – Health and Safety.
e. Title 10 of the PMC -- Vehicles and Traffic.
f. Title 13 of the PMC -- Streets and Sidewalks.
g. Title 14 of the PMC -- Water and Sewers.

h. Title 17 of the PMC – Buildings and Construction.
i. Title 19 of the PMC – Subdivisions.
j. Title 20 of the PMC – Zoning.
k. Title 21 of the PMC - Shorelines Management
l. Title 23 of the PMC – Critical Areas
m. Title 24 of the PMC – Stormwater Management Utility
o. The City of Pacific’s Comprehensive Plan.
p. The City of Pacific’s Shoreline Master Program.
q. The City’s Six Year Road Program.
r. The City’s Comprehensive Water Plan.
s. The City’s Comprehensive Sewer Plan.
t. City’s Public Works Standards.
u. City’s Storm Water Management Ordinance.
v. Auburn School District #408 Capital Facilities Plan
w. Lakehaven Utility District 2008 Comprehensive Water System Plan (or as otherwise amended)
x. Lakehaven Utility District 2009 Comprehensive Waterwater System Plan (or as otherwise amended)

4. The City establishes the following additional policies:

A. **Schools.** In order to ensure that adequate school facilities are available to serve new growth and development, as well as to ensure that such new growth and development provides mitigation for direct impacts on school facilities identified by the school district as a consequence of proposed development, the City may impose school mitigation fees, all as provided in RCW 82.02.020.

B. **Police.** In order to ensure that the City’s acceptable level of service for police response is not diminished as a result of new growth and development and to ensure that new growth and development provides mitigation for the direct impacts on the City’s Police Department that are identified by the City as a consequence of proposed development, the City may impose Police and Emergency Response mitigation fees, all as provided in RCW 82.02.020.

C. **Other City Services.** In order so that the City’s acceptable level of service to citizens for all other government services and utilities is not diminished as a result of new growth and development, the City may impose mitigation fees, all as provided in RCW 82.02.020 for parks and general governmental buildings.

D. **Transportation.** In order to ensure that adequate transportation facilities are available to serve new growth and development, as well as to ensure that such new
growth and development provides mitigation for direct impacts on transportation facilities identified by the City as a consequence of proposed development, the City may impose transportation mitigation fees, all as provided in RCW 82.02.020.

16.16.260 Appeals.

The City establishes the following administrative appeal procedures under RCW 43.21C.075 and WAC 197-110-680:

A. Appealable Decisions.

1. Only the following decisions may be administratively appealed under this chapter: (a) Final threshold determination; (2) mitigation or failure to mitigate in the SEPA decision; (3) Final EIS; and (4) project denials.

2. If the City does not provide for a hearing or appeal on the underlying action/permit, then the SEPA administrative appeal on the decisions listed in Subsection 19.04.260(A)(1) above shall be the only hearing and appeal allowed on the underlying action/permit.

B. Notice of Decision

1. In the Notice of Decision issued by the City and for every decision for which an appeal is available in this Section, the SEPA Responsible Official shall give official notice of the date and place for commencing an appeal. The notice shall include:

   a. Notice that the SEPA issues must be appealed within the time limit set by statute or ordinance for appealing the underlying governmental action;

   b. The time limit for commencing the appeal of the underlying governmental action and SEPA issues, and the statute or ordinance establishing the time limit;

   c. Where the appeal may be filed.

2. Written notice shall be provided to the applicant, all parties to any administrative appeal and all persons who have requested notice of decisions concerning the project. Such notice may be appended to the permit, the decision documents, the SEPA compliance documents or may be printed separately.
C. **Timing of Appeal.** The appeal shall take place prior to the City’s final decision on a proposed action. However, the SEPA open record appeal hearing may be consolidated with any other hearing on the underlying permit or action.

D. **Number of Appeals:** Only one administrative appeal to the City is allowed of the decisions listed in Subsection 16.16.260(A) above.

E. **Consolidated Appeals.** If the underlying action/permit requires a hearing, any SEPA appeal shall be consolidated with the hearing or appeal of the underlying action/permit into one simultaneous hearing, with the exception of the following:

1. An appeal of a determination of significance (DS);
2. An appeal of a procedural determination made by the City when the City is a project proponent, or is funding a project, and chooses to conduct its review under SEPA, including any appeals of its procedural determinations, prior to submitting an application for a project permit. Subsequent appeals of substantive determinations by an agency with jurisdiction over the proposed project shall be allowed under the SEPA appeal procedures of the agency with jurisdiction;
3. An appeal of a procedural determination made by the City on a nonproject action; and
4. An appeal to the City Council under RCW 43.21C.060.

F. **Timing of Appeal.**

1. **SEPA Decision issues at the same time as underlying action.** An appeal of a SEPA decision that issued at the same time as the decision on a project action shall be filed within fourteen days (14) days after issuance of a Notice of Decision (or RCW 36.70B.130), or after notice that a decision has been made and is appealable.

2. **SEPA Decision allows Public Comment.** For a DNS or MDNS for which public comment is required (under this chapter) the appeal period shall be extended for an additional seven days.

3. **SEPA Threshold Decision issues prior to decision on underlying action.** An appeal of a threshold decision issued prior to a decision on a project action shall be filed within fourteen (14) days after notice that the decision has been made and is appealable.
G. **Consideration of SEPA Responsible Official’s Decision.** Procedural determinations made by the SEPA Responsible Official shall be entitled to substantial weight by the hearing examiner or city council in an appeal.

H. **Administrative Record.** An administrative record of the appeal must be provided, and the record shall consist of the following:

   a. Findings and conclusions;
   b. Testimony under oath; and
   c. A taped or written transcript. [The City may require that the appellant provide an electronic transcript.]

I. **Exhaustion of Administrative Remedies.** The City’s administrative appeal procedure must be used before anyone may initiate judicial review of any SEPA issue for which the City allows an appeal in this Section.

J. **Content of Appeal.** Every appeal must be in writing, and must include the following:

   1. The applicable appeal fee, as established by Resolution of the City Council;
   2. Appellant’s name, address and phone number;
   3. A statement describing the appellant’s standing, or why the appellant believes that he or she is aggrieved by the decision appealed from;
   4. Identification of the application and decision which is the subject of the appeal;
   5. Appellant’s statement of grounds for appeal and the facts upon which the appeal is based with specific references to the facts in the record;
   6. The specific relief sought;
   7. A statement that the appellant has read the appeal and believes the content to be true, followed by the appellant’s signature.

K. **Timeliness of Appeals.** On receipt of a written notice of appeal, the SEPA Responsible Official shall forward the appeal to the hearing examiner or city council (whichever is the hearing officer/body on the appeal), who shall determine whether the appeal is timely prior to the scheduling of any appeal hearing or consolidated open record hearing on an underlying project permit. A written decision will issue if the appeal is untimely and the appeal will not proceed.

L. **Hearing Examiner Appeals.**
1. **Jurisdiction.** All administrative appeals relating to project permit applications or any type of quasi-judicial or ministerial development applications that are not appealable to the City Council (pursuant to PMC 2.06.070 shall be heard by the Hearing Examiner.

2. **Hearing.** The Hearing Examiner shall hold an open record public hearing on the appeal.

3. **Date for Issuance of Decision.** The hearing examiner shall issue a decision on the appeal within the time period set forth in PMC 2.06.070, unless a longer period is agreed to in writing by the applicant and hearing examiner.

4. **Appeals of Hearing Examiner’s Decision.** The hearing examiner’s decision on the timeliness of an appeal within his/her jurisdiction, and any other appeals allowed under this subsection within his/her jurisdiction shall be the final decision of the City. The hearing examiner’s decision shall state that any appeal of the final decision shall be filed in King County Superior Court (pursuant to chapter 36.70C RCW), or the Shorelines Hearings Board, if applicable.

M. **City Council Appeals.**

1. **Jurisdiction.** The City Council shall hear all administrative appeals relating to legislative actions and applications.

2. **Hearing.** For all legislative actions and applications, the City Council shall hold a public hearing. For any SEPA appeals relating to applications for which the City Council has jurisdiction (pursuant to PMC 16.18.010), the City Council shall consider the appeal during the public hearing and issue a final decision.

3. **Record on Appeal.** The evidence and testimony received by the Council in a SEPA appeal shall be presented in a public hearing.

4. **Appeals of City Council’s Decision.** The City Council’s decision on the timeliness of an appeal within its jurisdiction and any other appeals allowed under this subsection within its jurisdiction shall be the final decision of the City. The City Council’s decision shall state that any appeal of the final decision may be filed in King County Superior Court or Pierce County Superior Court within 21 days (if applicable) or within 60 days to the Growth Management Hearings Board, pursuant to RCW 36.70A.290(2).
N. Judicial Appeals.

1. When SEPA applies to a decision, any judicial appeal of that decision potentially involves both those issues pertaining to SEPA and those which do not. This Section and RCW 43.21C.075 establish the time limits for raising SEPA issues, but existing statutes of limitation control the appeal of non-SEPA issues.

2. Appeals of the City’s final decision shall be filed in superior court (or the Growth Management Hearings Board), but appellants must follow RCW 43.21C.075(6)(c), which provides that “judicial review under chapter 43.21C RCW shall without exception be of the governmental action together with its accompanying environmental determinations,” which contemplates a single lawsuit.


A. The City, applicant for, or proponent of an action may publish a notice of action pursuant to RCW 43.21C.080 for any action.

B. The form of the notice shall be substantially in the form provided by WAC 197-11-990. The notice shall be published by the City Clerk or County Auditor, applicant or proponent, pursuant to RCW 43.21C.080.

16.16.270 Agency Compliance. This part contains rules for agency compliance with SEPA, including rules for charging fees under the SEPA process, designating categorical exemptions that do not apply within critical areas, listing agencies with environmental expertise, selecting the lead agency and applying these rules to current agency activities. The City adopts the following sections by reference:

WAC

197-11-900 Purpose of this part.
197-11-902 Agency SEPA policies.
197-11-916 Application to ongoing actions.
197-11-920 Agencies with environmental expertise.
197-11-922 Lead agency rules.
197-11-924 Determining the lead agency.
197-11-926 Lead agency for governmental proposals.
197-11-928 Lead agency for public and private proposals.
197-11-930 Lead agency for private projects with one agency with jurisdiction.
197-11-932  Lead agency for private projects requiring licenses from more than one agency, when one of the agencies is a county/city.

197-11-934  Lead agency for private projects requiring licenses from a local agency, not a county/city, and one or more state agencies.

197-11-936  Lead agency for private projects requiring licenses from more than one state agency.

197-11-938  Lead agencies for specific proposals.

197-11-940  Transfer of lead agency status to a state agency.

197-11-942  Agreements on lead agency status.

197-11-944  Agreements on division of lead agency duties.

197-11-946  DOE resolution of lead agency disputes.

197-11-948  Assumption of lead agency status.


A.  The City has selected certain categorical exemptions that will not apply in one or more critical areas identified in the critical areas ordinances required under RCW 36.70A.060. For each critical area listed below, the exemptions within WAC 197-11-800 that are not applicable for that area are:

1.  Chapter 23.20 Wetlands

   WAC 197-11-800 (1)

2.  Chapter 23.60 Habitat Conservation Areas

   WAC 197-11-800 (1)

B.  The scope of environmental review of actions within these areas shall be limited to:

1.  Documenting whether the proposal is consistent with the requirements of the critical areas ordinance; and

2.  Evaluating potentially significant impacts on the critical area resources not adequately addressed by GMA planning documents and development regulations, if any, including any additional mitigation measures needed to protect the critical areas in order to achieve consistency with SEPA and with other applicable environmental review laws.

C.  All categorical exemptions not listed in subsection (1) of this section apply whether or not the proposal will be located in a critical area.
4. The City shall not collect a fee for performing its duties as a consulted agency.

5. The City may charge any person for copies of any document prepared under this chapter, and for mailing the document, in a manner provided by the City's resolution on public records disclosure.

16.16.300 Adoption by reference. The City adopts the following forms and sections by reference:

WAC

197-11-960 Environmental checklist
197-11-965 Adoption notice
197-11-970 Determination of nonsignificance (DNS)
197-11-980 Determination of significance and scoping notice (DS)
197-11-985 Notice of assumption of lead agency status
197-11-990 Notice of action

Section 3. Severability. If any section, sentence, clause or phrase of this Ordinance shall be held to be unconstitutional or invalid by a court of competent jurisdiction, such invalidity or unconstitutionality shall not affect the validity or constitutionality of any other section, sentence, clause or phrase of this Ordinance.

Section 4. Effective Date. This Ordinance shall take effect and be in full force five (5) days after passage and publication of an approved summary consisting of the title.

PASSED by the Pacific City Council this 8th day of December, 2014.
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